## SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 2007 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S151864 H031407 Sixth Appellate District HERSHIPS (HOWARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review and application for stay denied.

S050455 PEOPLE v. BEAMES (JOHN MICHAEL)

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to June 20, 2007.

S099414 PEOPLE v. BIVERT (KENNETH RAY)

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 26, 2007.

**S146114** B184638 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **SHIN (JOHNNY) v. AHN (JACK)** Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's response to amicus curiae brief filed by California Consumer Attorneys is hereby extended to April 24, 2007.

S146288 E036353 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CONCEPCION (RYAN ESTEBAN)

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is hereby extended to May 14, 2007.

## S107653

## PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD (CHARLES EDWARD)

Counsel appointment order filed.

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David J. Macher is hereby appointed to represent appellant Charles Edward Crawford for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S150316 B188863 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 F. (JAMES), IN RE Counsel appointment order filed.

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Dodd is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court. Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed. Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for the briefing requirements. Please note also that, because this is a child dependency case, the court may grant an extension of time only on 'an exceptional showing of good cause.' (Code Civ. Proc., 45; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 38.2(d).)

S138666 G033608 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CORDER (SHAOPING) v. CORDER (LISA R.)

Order filed.

The request of counsel for respondent in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondent at oral argument is hereby granted. The request of respondent to allocate to G. David Tenenbaum 20 minutes and Ronald E. Harrington 10 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S144244 RULE 951 (CRC)

Rule adopted as recommended.

Rule 9.23 of the California Rules of Court, recommended for adoption by the Board of Governors of the State Bar of California, is hereby adopted to become effective April 1, 2007, as set forth in the attachment hereto.